# COMMITTEE ON LEGISLATIVE RESEARCH OVERSIGHT DIVISION

#### **FISCAL NOTE**

L.R. No.: 3919-03

Bill No.: Perfected HCS for HB Nos. 1521 & 1302

Subject: Elderly; Law Enforcement Officers and Agencies; Department of Public Safety

<u>Type</u>: Original

<u>Date</u>: April 27, 2010

Bill Summary: The proposal expands the Amber Alert System to include missing

endangered persons, specifies the criteria for being an endangered person, and changes the system's name to Amber Alert and Silver Alert System.

## **FISCAL SUMMARY**

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON GENERAL REVENUE FUND				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON OTHER STATE FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on Other State Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Numbers within parentheses: ( ) indicate costs or losses.

This fiscal note contains 6 pages.

Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB Nos. 1521 & 1302

Page 2 of 6 April 27, 2010

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FEDERAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
Total Estimated Net Effect on <u>All</u> Federal Funds	\$0	\$0	\$0	

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON FULL TIME EQUIVALENT (FTE)					
FUND AFFECTED	TUND AFFECTED FY 2011 FY 2012				
Total Estimated Net Effect on FTE	0	0	0		

- □ Estimated Total Net Effect on All funds expected to exceed \$100,000 savings or (cost).
- □ Estimated Net Effect on General Revenue Fund expected to exceed \$100,000 (cost).

ESTIMATED NET EFFECT ON LOCAL FUNDS				
FUND AFFECTED	FY 2011	FY 2012	FY 2013	
<b>Local Government</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	

Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB Nos. 1521 & 1302

Page 3 of 6 April 27, 2010

#### FISCAL ANALYSIS

#### **ASSUMPTION**

Officials from the **Department of Transportation**, **Department of Mental Health**, and the **Department of Public Safety** – **Missouri State Highway Patrol** assume the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

In response to a previous version of the proposal (HCS for HB Nos. 1521 & 1302, LR # 3919-03), officials from the **Office of the State Public Defender, Boone County Sheriff's Department**, and the **Jefferson City Police Department** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

In response to a previous version of the proposal (HB 1521, LR # 3919-02), officials from the **Department of Public Safety** – **Director's Office** and the **Springfield Police Department** assumed the proposal would have no fiscal impact on their agencies.

In response to a previous version of the proposal (HCS for HB Nos. 1521 & 1302, LR # 3919-03), officials from the **Columbia Police Department** assumed the proposal would possibly have a fiscal impact to their department and city.

**Oversight** assumes any fiscal impact to the Columbia Police Department would be minimal and could be absorbed within existing resources.

Officials from the **Office of State Courts Administrator** assume the proposed legislation would have no fiscal impact on the courts.

Officials from the **Department of Corrections (DOC)** assume the penalty provision, the component of the bill to have potential fiscal impact for DOC, is for a class A misdemeanor.

DOC cannot currently predict the number of new commitments which may result from the creation of the offense(s) outlined in this proposal. An increase in commitments depends on the utilization by prosecutors and the actual sentences imposed by the court.

If additional persons are sentenced to the custody of the DOC due to the provisions of this legislation, the DOC will incur a corresponding increase in operational cost through supervision provided by the Board of Probation and Parole (FY09 average of \$3.71 per offender per day, or an annual cost of \$1,354 per offender).

Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB Nos. 1521 & 1302

Page 4 of 6 April 27, 2010

### <u>ASSUMPTION</u> (continued)

In summary, supervision by the DOC through probation or incarceration would result in some additional costs, but it is assumed the impact would be \$0 or a minimal amount that could be absorbed within existing resources.

In response to a previous version of the proposal (HCS for HB Nos. 1521 & 1302, LR # 3919-03), officials from the **Office of the Secretary of State (SOS)** assumed many bills considered by the General Assembly include provisions allowing or requiring agencies to submit rules and regulations to implement the act. The SOS is provided with core funding to handle a certain amount of normal activity resulting from each year's legislative session. The fiscal impact for this proposal for Administrative Rules is less than \$2,500. The SOS recognizes this is a small amount and does not expect additional funding would be required to meet these costs. However, SOS also recognizes that many such bills may be passed in a given year and that collectively the costs may be in excess of what the SOS can sustain with their core budget. Any additional required funding would be handled through the budget process.

In response to a similar proposal from the current session (HB 1302, LR # 3698-01), officials from the **Office of Prosecution Services** assumed the proposal would have no measurable fiscal impact the Office of Prosecution Services or county prosecutors.

Officials from the Missouri Lottery, Buchanan County Sheriff's Department, Clark County Sheriff's Department, Independence Police Department, Jackson County Sheriff's Department, Kansas City Police Department, Platt County Sheriff's Department, St. Charles Police Department, St. Joseph Police Department, St. Louis County Police Department, and the St. Louis County Metropolitan Police Department did not respond to Oversight's request for fiscal impact.

FISCAL IMPACT - State Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>
FISCAL IMPACT - Local Government	FY 2011 (10 Mo.)	FY 2012	FY 2013
	<u><b>\$0</b></u>	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$0</u>

Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB Nos. 1521 & 1302

Page 5 of 6 April 27, 2010

## FISCAL IMPACT - Small Business

No direct fiscal impact to small businesses would be expected as a result of this proposal.

#### FISCAL DESCRIPTION

The proposed legislation appears to have no fiscal impact.

This legislation is not federally mandated and would not require additional capital improvements or rental space.

The proposal may duplicate the Endangered Person Advisory (EPA).

## **SOURCES OF INFORMATION**

Office of State Courts Administrator
Department of Transportation
Department of Mental Health
Department of Corrections
Department of Health and Senior Services
Department of Public Safety

- Director's Office
- Missouri State Highway Patrol

Office of Prosecution Services
Office of the Secretary of State
Office of the State Public Defender
Boone County Sheriff
Columbia Police Department
Jefferson City Police Department

Springfield Police Department

Bill No. Perfected HCS for HB Nos. 1521 & 1302

Page 6 of 6 April 27, 2010

## **NOT RESPONDING**

Missouri Lottery, Buchanan County Sheriff's Department, Clark County Sheriff's Department, Independence Police Department, Jackson County Sheriff's Department, Kansas City Police Department, Platt County Sheriff's Department, St. Charles Police Department, St. Joseph Police Department, St. Louis County Police Department, and the St. Louis County Metropolitan Police Department

Mickey Wilson, CPA

Mickey Wilen

Director April 27, 2010